

March 10, 2016

The Honorable Debbie Dingell U.S. House of Representatives 116 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Dingell:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely,



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Lloyd Doggett U.S. House of Representatives 2307 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Doggett:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely,



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Mike Doyle U.S. House of Representatives 239 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Doyle:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Tammy Duckworth U.S. House of Representatives 104 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Duckworth:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely,



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Donna Edwards U.S. House of Representatives 2445 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Edwards:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely,



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Keith Ellison U.S. House of Representatives 2263 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Ellison:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely,



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Eliot L. Engel U.S. House of Representatives 2462 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Engel:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely,



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Anna G. Eshoo U.S. House of Representatives 241 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Eshoo:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely,



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Elizabeth Esty U.S. House of Representatives 405 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Esty:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely,



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Sam Farr U.S. House of Representatives 1126 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Farr:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely,



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Chaka Fattah U.S. House of Representatives 2301 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Fattah:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerery,



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Lois Frankel U.S. House of Representatives 1037 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Frankel:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely,



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Marcia L. Fudge U.S. House of Representatives 2344 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Fudge:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerery



March 10, 2016

The Honorable John Garamendi U.S. House of Representatives 2438 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Garamendi:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely,



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Alan Grayson U.S. House of Representatives 303 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Grayson:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely,



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Al Green U.S. House of Representatives 2347 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Green:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely,



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Gene Green U.S. House of Representatives 2470 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Green:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely,



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Raúl M. Grijalva U.S. House of Representatives 1511 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Grijalva:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Luis V. Gutiérrez U.S. House of Representatives 2408 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Gutiérrez:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely,



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Janice Hahn U.S. House of Representatives 404 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Hahn:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely.